1	Corinne D. Orquiola, Esq. (SBN 226969)						
2	Krohn & Moss, Ltd. 1112 Ocean Drive						
3	Suite 301 Manhattan Beach, CA 90266						
4	T: (323) 988-2400; F: (866) 861-1390 corquiola@consumerlawcenter.com						
5	Attorneys for Plaintiff, DEREK FRELIGH						
6	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT						
7	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION						
8							
9	DEREK FRELIGH,) Case No.:					
10	Plaintiff,) COMPLAINT VERIFIED BY) PLAINTIFF					
11	V.	(Unlawful Debt Collection Practices)					
12	ROC ASSET SOLUTIONS, LLC,						
13	Defendant.						
14							
15	<u>VERIFIE</u>	D COMPLAINT					
16	DEREK FRELIGH (Plaintiff), by his attorneys, KROHN & MOSS, LTD., alleges the						
17	following against ROC ASSET SOLUTIONS, LLC, (Defendant):						
18	INTRODUCTION						
19	1. Count I of Plaintiff's Complaint is based on the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15						
20	U.S.C. 1692 et seq. (FDCPA).						
21	2. Count II of the Plaintiff's Complaint is based on Rosenthal Fair Debt Collection						
22	Practices Act, Cal. Civ. Code §1788 et seq. (RFDCPA).						
23	JURISDICT	TION AND VENUE					
	Jurisdiction of this court arises pursu	ant to 15 U.S.C. 1692k(d), which states that such					
24	actions may be brought and heard be	fore "any appropriate United States district court					
25							
		- 1 -					

- without regard to the amount in controversy," and 28 U.S.C. 1367 grants this court supplemental jurisdiction over the state claims contained therein.
- 4. Defendant conducts business in the state of California, and therefore, personal jurisdiction is established.
- 5. Venue is proper pursuant to 28 *U.S.C.* 1391(b)(1).
- 6. Declaratory relief is available pursuant to 28 U.S.C. 2201 and 2202.

PARTIES

- 7. Plaintiff is a natural person residing in Tracy, San Joaquin County, California.
- 8. Plaintiff is a consumer as that term is defined by 15 U.S.C. 1692a(3), and according to Defendant, Plaintiff allegedly owes a debt as that term is defined by 15 U.S.C. 1692a(5) and Cal. Civ. Code § 1788.2(h).
- 9. Defendant is a debt collector as that term is defined by 15 U.S.C. 1692a(6) and Cal. Civ. Code §1788.2(c), and sought to collect a consumer debt from Plaintiff.
- 10. Defendant is a national debt collection company located in Williamsville, New York.
- 11. Defendant acted through its agents, employees, officers, members, directors, heirs, successors, assigns, principals, trustees, sureties, subrogees, representatives, and insurers.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

- 12. In or around February 2015, Defendant placed collection calls to Plaintiff seeking and demanding payment for an alleged consumer debt.
- 13. Plaintiff's alleged debt arises from transactions for personal, family, and household purposes.
- 14. Defendant called Plaintiff's telephone number at 415-205-78XX.
- 15. In or around February 2015, Defendant called Plaintiff and left a voicemail message on Plaintiff's answering machine. See transcribed voicemail message attached hereto as

Exhibit A.

- 16. In the voicemail message, Defendant failed to state the name of the company or to meaningfully state that the call was from a debt collector. See transcribed voicemail message attached hereto as Exhibit A.
- 17. In the voicemail message, Defendant directed Plaintiff to call back telephone number 855-676-0592, which is a number that belongs to Defendant. See transcribed voicemail message attached hereto as Exhibit A.
- 18. In or around February 2015, Defendant called Plaintiff and left a voicemail message on Plaintiff's answering machine. See transcribed voicemail message attached hereto as Exhibit B.
- 19. In the voicemail message, Defendant failed to state the name of the company or to meaningfully state that the call was from a debt collector. See transcribed voicemail message attached hereto as Exhibit B.
- 20. In the voicemail message, Defendant directed Plaintiff to call back telephone number 855-676-0592, which is a number that belongs to Defendant. See transcribed voicemail message attached hereto as Exhibit B.
- 21. In or around February 2015, Defendant called Plaintiff and left a voicemail message on Plaintiff's answering machine. See transcribed voicemail message attached hereto as Exhibit C.
- 22. In the voicemail message, Defendant failed to state the name of the company or to meaningfully state that the call was from a debt collector. See transcribed voicemail message attached hereto as Exhibit C.
- 23. In the voicemail message, Defendant directed Plaintiff to call back telephone number

855-676-0592,	, which is a r	number that	belongs to	Defendant.	See trans	scribed v	oicemail
message attach	ned hereto as	Exhibit C.					

24. Defendant is using false, deceptive and misleading means in connection with attempting to collect a debt by not identifying the purpose of its phone calls or that they are an attempt to collect a debt.

COUNT I DEFENDANT VIOLATED THE FAIR DEBT COLLECTION PRACTICES ACT

- 25. Defendant violated the FDCPA based on the following:
 - a. Defendant violated §1692d of the FDCPA by engaging in conduct the natural consequence of which is to harass, oppress, or abuse Plaintiff;
 - b. Defendant violated §1692d(6) of the FDCPA by failing to disclose the name of the company in all communications placed with and for Plaintiff;
 - c. Defendant violated §1692e of the FDCPA by using any other false, deceptive, or misleading representation or means in connection with the debt collection;
 - d. Defendant violated §1692e(11) of the FDCPA by failing to communicate and contain the mini- Miranda warning: This is an attempt to collect a debt... communication is from a debt collector.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, DEREK FRELIGH, respectfully requests judgment be entered against Defendant, ROC ASSET SOLUTIONS, LLC, for the following:

- 26. Declaratory judgment that Defendant's conduct violated the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act,
- 27. Statutory damages of \$1000.00 pursuant to the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 U.S.C. 1692k,
- 28. Actual damages,

1	29. Costs and reasonable attorneys' fees pursuant to the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act,
2	15 U.S.C. 1692k
3	30. Any other relief that this Honorable Court deems appropriate.
4 5	COUNT II DEFENDANT VIOLATED THE ROSENTHAL FAIR DEBT COLLECTION PRACTICES ACT
6	31. Plaintiff repeats and realleges all of the allegations in Count I of Plaintiff's Complaint as
7	the allegations in Count II of Plaintiff's Complaint.
8	32. Defendant violated the RFDCPA based on the following:
9	a. Defendant violated the §1788.17 of the RFDCPA by continuously failing to
10	comply with the statutory regulations contained within the FDCPA, 15 U.S.C. §
11	1692 et seq.
12	WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, DEREK FRELIGH, respectfully requests judgment be entered
13	against Defendant, ROC ASSET SOLUTIONS, LLC, for the following:
14	33. Declaratory judgment that Defendant's conduct violated the Rosenthal Fair Debt
15	Collection Practices Act,
16	34. Statutory damages of \$1000.00 pursuant to the Rosenthal Fair Debt Collection Practices
17	Act, Cal. Civ. Code §1788.30(b),
18	35. Actual damages,
19	36. Costs and reasonable attorneys' fees pursuant to the Rosenthal Fair Debt Collection
20	Practices Act, Cal. Civ Code § 1788.30(c), and
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	37. Any other relief that this H	onorable Court deems appropriate.
2		
3		RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED,
4	DATED: February 9, 2016	KROHN & MOSS, LTD.
5		
6		By: /s/ Corinne D. Orquiola
7		Corinne D. Orquiola
8		Attorney for Plaintiff Krohn & Moss, Ltd.
9		1112 Ocean Drive Suite 301
10		Manhattan Beach, CA 90266 T: (323) 988-2400; F: (866) 861-1390
11		corquiola@consumerlawcenter.com Attorneys for Plaintiff
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

VERIFICATION OF COMPLAINT AND CERTIFICATION

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Plaintiff, DEREK FRELIGH, states as follows:

1. I am the Plaintiff in this civil proceeding.

2. I have read the above-entitled civil Complaint prepared by my attorneys and I believe that all of the facts contained in it are true, to the best of my knowledge, information and belief formed after reasonable inquiry.

3. I believe that this civil Complaint is well grounded in fact and warranted by existing law or by a good faith argument for the extension, modification or reversal of existing

law.

4. I believe that this civil Complaint is not interposed for any improper purpose, such as to harass any Defendant(s), cause unnecessary delay to any Defendant(s), or create a needless increase in the cost of litigation to any Defendant(s), named in the Complaint.

5. I have filed this Complaint in good faith and solely for the purposes set forth in it.

6. Each and every exhibit I have provided to my attorneys which has been attached to

this Complaint is a true and correct copy of the original.

7. Except for clearly indicated redactions made by my attorneys where appropriate, I have not altered, changed, modified or fabricated these exhibits, except that some of the attached exhibits may contain some of my own handwritten notations.

Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1746(2), I, DEREK FRELIGH, hereby declare (or certify, verify or state) under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

DATE: 2716

DEREK FRELIGH